

April 4, 2014

TO THE USERS OF THIS REPORT:

The Louisiana Legislative Auditor (LLA) is reissuing the audit report of the Housing Authority of St. John the Baptist Parish for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. The audit was performed by Yeager & Boyd, LLC.

The original report, which was issued by LLA on July 24, 2013, had a disclaimer of opinion because the housing authority was unable to support opening balances for cash. restricted cash, and capital assets. Due to the materiality of the balances that could not be supported, Yeager & Boyd was unable to render an opinion on the housing authority's financial statements. The housing authority's audit reports for fiscal years ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 also had disclaimers of opinion.

After LLA's issuance of the original report, a second report, with an unqualified opinion, was submitted by Yeager & Boyd for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. According to Yeager & Boyd, the housing authority was able to provide support for the cash, restricted cash, and capital asset balances, and the disclaimer of opinion was changed to an unqualified opinion.

Both reports are available on LLA's website.

LLA feels this matter should be disclosed for the information of the users of the report.

If there are any questions, please call Joy Irwin at (225) 339-3975.

Sincerely,

Daryl G. Purpera, CPA, CFE

Under provisions of state law, this report is a public Legislative Auditor document. A copy of the report has been submitted to the entity and other appropriate public officials. The report is available for public inspection at the Baton Rouge office of the Legislative Auditor and, where appropriate, at the office of the parish clerk of court.

APR 0 9 2014 Release Date_



THE HOUSING AUTHORITY

OF ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH

LAPLACE, LOUISIANA

REPORT ON EXAMINATION
OF
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTAL DATA
YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH

LAPLACE, LOUISIANA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>rage</u>
Independent Auditors' Report	1 - 7
Management's Discussion & Analysis	8 - 12
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:	
Statement of Net Assets	13 - 14
Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets	15
Statement of Cash Flows	16
Notes to Financial Statements	17 - 22
SUPPLEMENTARY DATA:	
Schedule of Assets, Liabilities and Net Assets by Program	23 - 24
Schedule of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets by Program	25
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	· 26
Financial Data Schedule	27 - 29
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	30 - 49

YEAGER & BOYD, L.L.C. CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS 5501 HIGHWAY 280 BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA 35242 (205) 991-5506 (800) 284-1338 FAX (205) 991-5450

Board of Commissioners
The Housing Authority of St. John the Baptist Parish
LaPlace, Louisiana

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Housing Authority of St. John the Baptist Parish as of and for the year ended September 30, 2012, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Authority's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion,

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Authority as of September 30, 2012, and changes in financial position and cash flows, for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We previously issued our Independent Auditors' Report on June 21, 2013, which disclaimed an opinion on the Authority's financial statements because the Authority was unable to support opening balances for Cash, Restricted Cash and Capital Assets. Therefore, we were unable to determine the material accuracy of the respective ending balances. Additionally, we were unable to determine the material accuracy of the respective ending balances by other auditing procedures. Subsequent to the date of the previously issued Independent Auditors' Report, the Authority has sufficiently supported opening balances for Cash, Restricted Cash and Capital Assets. Therefore, we were able to determine the material accuracy of the respective ending balances. Additionally, during the audit process of the subsequently provided support for opening Cash and Restricted Cash balances, a restatement of Accounts Receivable – HUD and Net Assets balances was made and is described in Note O to these financial statements.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated June 21, 2013 on our consideration of the Authority's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages 8 through 12 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Authority's basic financial statements. The accompanying Financial Data Schedule is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Further, other supplementary data as listed in the table of contents is presented for Department of Housing and Urban Development information and is not a required part of the financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133. "Audits of States, Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations", and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. The Financial Data Schedule, supplementary data and the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Birmingham, Alabama October 8, 2013

Yeager & Boyd

your Blogs

YEAGER & BOYD, L.L.C. CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS 5501 HIGHWAY 280 BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA 35242 (205) 991-5506 (800) 284-1338 FAX (205) 991-5450

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Commissioners
The Housing Authority of St. John the Baptist Parish
LaPlace, Louisiana

We were engaged to audit the financial statements of the Authority as of and for the year ended September 30, 2012, which collectively comprise the Authority's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated June 21, 2013. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of the Authority is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Authority's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Authority's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in the internal control that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over financial reporting, described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as Finding 2012-01 that we consider to be a significant deficiency in internal control over financial reporting. A significant deficiency is a deficiency in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Authority's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as Findings 2012-01 through 2012-12.

The Authority's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. We did not audit the Authority's responses and, accordingly we express no opinion on them.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Commissioners, management, federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Birmingham, Alabama June 21, 2013 Yeager & Bryd

YEAGER & BOYD, L.L.C. CERT?FIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS 5501 HIGHWAY 280 BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA 35242 (205) 991-5506 (800) 284-1338 FAX (205) 991-5450

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS THAT COULD HAVE A DIRECT AND MATERIAL EFFECT ON EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

Board of Commissioners The Housing Authority of St. John the Baptist Parish LaPlace, Louisiana

Compliance

We have audited the Authority's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on the Authority's major federal program for the year ended September 30, 2012. The Authority's major programs are identified in the Summary of Auditors' Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to its major federal programs are the responsibility of the Authority's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Authority's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Authority's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the Authority's compliance with those requirements.

As described in Findings 2012-04, 2012-07 and 2012-11 in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, the Authority did not comply with the Eligibility and Special Test and Provisions (Environmental Review) compliance requirements that are applicable to its Public Housing, Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher, Capital Fund and Capital Fund Recovery Act Funded programs. Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, for the Authority to comply with the requirements applicable to those programs.

In our opinion, except for the noncompliance described in the preceding paragraph, the Authority complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended September 30, 2012. The results of our auditing procedures also disclosed other instances of noncompliance with those requirements, which are required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Quested Costs as Findings 2012-02, 2012-03, 2012-06, 2012-08 through 2012-10 and 2012-12.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the Authority is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Authority's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses and therefore, there can be no assurance that all deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses have been identified. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses and other deficiencies that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. We consider the deficiencies in Internal control over compliance described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as Findings 2012-02 through 2012-07 and 2012-09 through 2012-12 to be material weaknesses.

A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiency in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as Finding 2012-08 to be a significant deficiency.

The Authority's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. We did not audit the Authority's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Board of Commissioners, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Birmingham, Alabama June 21, 2013 Yenger & Band

THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

This section of the Authority's annual financial report presents Management's analysis of the Authority's financial performance during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. The operation of The Housing Authority of St. John the Baptist Parish is comprised of a Low Income Public Housing Program, a Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers Program, a Disaster Housing Assistance Program, a Capital Fund Program and a Capital Fund Recovery Act Funded Program. The Public Housing Program is funded with rental revenue, miscellaneous tenant charges and Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) grants. The Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher, Disaster Housing Assistance and Capital Fund Programs are funded entirely by grants from HUD.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

1.	Total assets exceed total liabilities by	\$5,448,351
2.	Unrestricted net assets equal	406,716
3.	Total revenues increased by	1,199,457

REQUIRED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Financial Statements of the Authority report information using the Enterprise Fund accounting methods:

- . Statement of Net Assets includes all of the Authority's assets and liabilities and provides information about the amounts and investments in assets and the obligations to Authority creditors. It also provides a basis of assessing the liquidity and financial flexibility of the Authority. Over time, increases or decreases in net assets may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial health of the Authority is improving or deteriorating. This statement was formerly known as a Balance Sheet.
- . Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets provides information as to the increase or decrease of current year revenues over expenses. This statement was formerly known as an Income Statement.
- . Statement of Cash Flows provides information about net cash provided by, or used for operating activities, non-capital financing activities, capital and related financing activities and from investing activities.

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS

	<u> </u>	2012	201	1 - Restated	1	/ariance
Assets: Current Assets Restricted Assets Capital Assets	\$	949,977 138,819 4,902,816	\$	690,898 - 4,810,224	\$	259,079 138,819 92,592
Total Assets	\$	5,991,612	\$	5,501,122	\$	490,490
Liabilities: Current Liabilities Non-current Liabilities	\$	528,822 14,439	\$	644,983 58,047	\$	(116,161) (43,608)
Total Liabilities	\$	543,261	\$	703,030	\$	(159,769)
Net Assets: Invested in Capital Assets Restricted Net Assets Unrestricted Net Assets	\$	4,902,816 138,819 406,716	\$	4,461,016 - 337,076	\$	441,800 138,819 69,640
Total Net Assets	\$	5,448,351	\$	4,798,092	\$	650,259

As illustrated, in the Statement of Net Assets, the overall net assets of the Authority increased by \$650,259. Current assets increased due to an increase in accounts receivable due from HUD for operating grants. Restricted assets and net assets increased due to an increase in cash restricted for housing assistance payments. Capital additions exceeding depreciation expense accounted for the increase in capital assets. Current liabilities decreased, primarily due to a reduction in accrued utility liabilities and accounts payable due to HUD.

CHANGE IN UNRESTRICTED NET ASSETS

Unrestricted Net Assets, October 1, 2011	\$ 337,076
Results of Operations	153,378
Transfer to Restricted Net Assets	(138,819)
Law Suit Settlement Liability	(349,208)
investment income	1,047
Depreciation Expense	 403,242
Unrestricted Net Assets, September 30, 2012	\$ 406,716

While the results of operations are a significant measure of the Authority's activities, the analysis of the changes in Unrestricted Net Assets provides a clearer change in financial well-being.

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS (continued)

STATEMENT OF REVENUES AND EXPENSES

2012	2011	Variance
\$ 411,572	\$ 424,597	\$ (13,025)
4,040,442	3,222,619	817,823
495.834	216,955	278,879
1,047	1,947	(900)
1,464,956	1,348,276	116,680
\$ 6,413,851	\$ 5,214,394	\$ 1,199,457
\$ 593,944	\$ 424,612	\$ 169,332
529,370	505,692	23,678
352,768	675,471	(322,703)
469,690	567,643	(97,953)
3,414,578	3,623,241	(208,663)
403,242	374,574	28,668
\$ 5,763,592	\$ 6,171,233	\$ (407,641)
\$ 650,259	\$ (956,839)	\$ 1,607,098
	\$ 411,572 4,040,442 495,834 1,047 1,464,956 \$ 6,413,851 \$ 593,944 529,370 352,768 469,690 3,414,578 403,242 \$ 6,763,592	\$ 411,572 \$ 424,597 4,040,442 3,222,619 495,834 216,955 1,047 1,947 1,464,956 1,348,276 \$ 6,413,851 \$ 5,214,394 \$ 593,944 \$ 424,612 529,370 505,692 352,768 675,471 469,690 567,643 3,414,578 3,623,241 403,242 374,574 \$ 6,763,592 \$ 6,171,233

REVENUES

In reviewing the Statement of Revenues and Expenses, you will find that 71% of the Authority's revenue is derived from grants from the Department of Housing and Urban Development, 6% of the Authority's revenue is from dwelling rent, and 23% from investment income and other income.

EXPENSES

In reviewing the Statement of Revenues and Expenses, you will find that 19% of the Authority's expenses are for general and administrative costs, 15% for maintenance and utilities, 7% for depreciation, and 59% for HAP payments.

Excess (Deficiency) Revenues Over Expenses

There was an increase in excess revenues over expenses. The increase was due to an increase in revenues and a decrease in expenses. Operating grants increased due to an increase in Public Housing's operating subsidy. Capital grant revenue increased due to an increase in modernization and development activity. Other income primarily increased due to an increase in income received from other housing authorities for port-ins. Administrative expenses increased due mainly to increased consulting and legal fees incurred. Maintenance costs decreased due to rehabilitation projects being completed in the prior year as well as cost saving efforts during the fiscal year. General expenses decreased due to a reduction of bad debt write-offs. Housing Assistance Payments decreased due to decreased leasing.

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS (continued)

CAPITAL ASSETS

As of year end, the Authority had \$4,902,816 invested in a variety of capital assets as reflected in the following schedule, which represents a 2% increase (additions, deductions and depreciation) from the end of last year.

•	2012	Variance		% Change
Land	\$ 171,875	\$ 171,875	\$ -	0%
Buildings & Improvements	14,391,185	13,976,704	414,481	3%
Furniture & Equipment	173,484	168,289	5,195	3%
Construction in Process	1,939,293	1,863,135	76,158	4%
Accumulated Depreciation	(11,773,021)	(11,369,779)	(403,242)	4%
Net Capital Assets	\$ 4,902,816	\$ 4,810,224	\$ 92,592	2%

The following reconciliation summarizes the change in Capital Assets:

Beginning Balance, October 1, 2011	\$ 4,810,224
Additions: Capital Fund Program - Improvements	495,834
Depreciation Expense	(403,242)
Ending Balance, September 30, 2012	\$ 4,902,816

ECONOMIC FACTORS AND EVENTS AFFECTING OPERATIONS

Several factors that may affect the financial position of the Authority in the subsequent fiscal year are as follows:

- Federal funding provided by Congress to the Department of Housing and Urban Development
- · Local labor supply and demand, which can affect salary and wage rates
- Local inflationary, recessionary and employment trends, which can affect resident incomes and therefore the amount of rental income
- Inflationary pressure on utility rates, supplies and other costs

CONTACT

This financial report is designed to provide our residents, the citizens of LaPlace, Louisiana, federal and state regulatory bodies, and any creditors with a general overview of the Authority's finances. If you have any questions regarding these financial statements or supplemental information, you may contact Trina Henderson, Executive Director, at 152 Joe Parquet Circle, LaPlace, Louisiana 70068.

THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

ASSETS

	Enterprise <u>Fund</u>	
Current Assets		
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 527,392	
Accounts Receivable - HUD	411,296	
Tenants Accounts Receivable	6,995	
(Allowance for Doubtful Accounts)	(1,539)	
Inventories	5,833	
Total Current Assets	949,977	
Restricted Assets		
Cash and Cash Equivalents	138,819	
Total Restricted Assets	138,819	
Capital Assets		
Land	171,875	
Buildings	14,391,185	
Furniture & Equipment	173,484	
Construction in Process	1,939,293	
	16,675,837	
(Less): Accumulated Depreciation	(11,773,021)	
Net Capital Assets	4,902,816	
Total Assets	\$ 5,991,612_	

THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS

	Enterprise <u>Fund</u>			
Current Liabilities	•			
Accounts Payable	\$ 85,131			
Accounts Payable - Capital	349,208			
Accrued Wages and Payroll Taxes	28,408			
Accrued Compensated Absences	14,438			
Resident Security Deposits	17,371			
Accrued Current Liabilitites - Other	34,266			
Total Current Liabilities	528,822			
Long-Term Liabilities				
Accrued Compensated Absences	14,439			
Total Long-Term Liabilities	14,439			
Total Liabilities	543,261			
Net Assets				
Investment in Capital Assets	4,902,816			
Restricted Net Assets	138,819			
Unrestricted Net Assets	406,716			
Total Net Assets	5,448,351			
Total Liabilities and Net Assets	\$ 5,991,612			

THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

<i>;</i>	Enterprise <u>Fund</u>		
Operating Revenues			
Dwelling Rent	\$ 411,572		
Operating Grants	4,040,442		
Other Income	1,464,956		
Total Operating Revenues	5,916,970		
Operating Expenses			
Administrative	593,944		
Utilities	529,370		
Maintenance and Operations	352,768		
General Expense	469,690		
Housing Assistance Payments	3,414,578		
Depreciation	403,242		
Total Operating Expenses	5,763,592		
Operating Income (Loss)	153,378		
Non-Operating Revenues (Expenses)			
Investment Income	1,047		
Total Non-Operating Rev/(Exp)	1,047		
Increase (Decrease) before Capital Contributions	154,425		
Capital Contributions	495,834		
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	650,259		
Net Assets, Beginning	4,887,891		
Prior Period Adjustment	(89,799)		
Net Assets, Ending	\$ 5,448,351		

THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

	E	nterprise
		<u>Fund</u>
Cash flows from operating activities:	_	
Cash Received from Dwelling Rent	\$	395,888
Cash Received from Operating Grants		3,612,453
Cash Received from Other Sources		1,463,959
Cash Payments for Salaries and Benefits		(563,964)
Cash Payments to Vendors and Landlords		<u>(4,774,753)</u>
Net Cash flows provided (used) by operating activities		133,583
Cash flows from capital and related financing activities:		
Capital Outlay		(495,834)
Capital Grants Received		440,264
Net cash flows provided (used) by capital and related financing activities	_	
Net cash hows provided (used) by capital and related infancing activities		(55,570)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Interest received from cash and cash equivalents		1,047
Net cash flows provided (used) by investing activities		1,047
Net Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		79,060
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year:		587,151
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year:	\$	666,211
Decemblistics of engating income (local to not each		
Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to net cash		
provided (used) operating activities:		450.000
Operating Income (Loss)	\$	153,378
Adjustment to reconcile operating income (loss) to net cash		
provided (used) by operating activities:		
Depreciation		403,242
Bad Debts		27,073
Change in Tenants Accounts Receivable		(15,684)
Change in Accounts Receivable - HUD		(427,989)
Change in Inventories		(5,833)
Change in Prepaid Insurance		86,902
Change in Accounts Payable - Operating		24,116
Change in Accrued Expenses		(26,495)
Change in Other Current Liabilities		(84,130)
Change in Tenant Security Deposits		(997)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$	133,583

THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH

LAPLACE, LOUISIANA

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

NOTE A - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The financial statements of the Housing Authority have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) as applied to government units. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard-setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The Authority is a Special Purpose Government engaged only in business-type activities and therefore, presents only the financial statements required for the enterprise fund, in accordance with GASB Statement 34 paragraph 138.

The Authority has multiple programs which are accounted for in one enterprise fund, which is presented as the "enterprise fund" in the basic financial statements as follows:

<u>Enterprise Fund</u> — In accordance with the Enterprise Fund Method, activity is recorded using the accrual basis of accounting and the measurement of focus is on the flow of economic resources. Under the accrual basis of accounting revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded at the time liabilities are incurred. This required the Housing Authority to account for operations in a manner similar to private business or where the Board has decided that the determination of revenues earned, costs incurred and/or net income is necessary for management accountability.

<u>Governmental Accounting Standards</u> – The Housing Authority has applied all applicable Governmental Accounting Standards Board pronouncements.

FURTHER SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES ARE:

Cash

The Housing Authority considers cash on hand and cash in checking to be cash equivalents. Cash on hand is not included in calculation of collateral required.

Accounts Receivable

Tenant accounts receivables are carried at the amount considered by management to be collectible. Other Accounts Receivable consists of amounts due from HUD for operating grants.

NOTE A - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - CONTINUED

Revenue Accounting Policies

Dwelling rent income, HUD Grants received for operations, other operating fund grants and operating miscellaneous income are shown as operating income.

These financial statements do not contain material inter-fund revenues and expenses for internal activity. The policy is to eliminate any material inter-fund revenues and expenses for these financial statements.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are recorded at cost. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. The costs of maintenance and repairs are expensed while significant renewals and betterments are capitalized. Small dollar value minor equipment items are expensed. Depreciation has been expensed in the statement of income and expenses. Estimated useful lives are as follows:

Buildings and Improvements
Furniture and Equipment

40 years 3 - 7 years

Cost Allocation

The Authority allocates indirect costs to programs on the basis of one of the following methods: direct salaries and wages, percentage of office square footage, number of vouchers and/or units, estimated/actual time spent, number of checks processed or the allotment stipulated in contractual agreements.

NOTE B - REPORTING ENTITY DEFINITION

The Housing Authority is a separate non-profit corporation with a Board of Commissioners. The Mayor appoints the Board of Commissioners. However, the Housing Authority has complete legislative and administrative authority and it recruits and employs personnel. The Authority adopts a budget that is approved by the Board of Commissioners. Subsidies for operations are received primarily from HUD. The Authority has substantial legal authority to control its affairs without local government approval; therefore, all operations of the Authority are a separate reporting entity as reflected in this report. The Authority has no component units. The Authority is responsible for its debts and is entitled to surpluses. No separate agency receives a financial benefit nor imposes a financial burden on the Authority.

NOTE C - CASH AND INVESTMENT DEPOSITS

Custodial Credit Risk-The Housing Authority policy is to limit credit risk by adherence to the list of HUD permitted investments, which are backed by the full faith and credit of or a guarantee of principal and interest by the U.S. Government.

Interest Rate Risk — The Housing Authority's formal investment policy does not limit investment maturities as a means of managing its exposure to fair value losses arising from interest rate volatility.

The U.S. Department of HUD requires housing authorities to invest excess funds in obligations of the U.S., certificates of deposit or any other federally-insured investments.

NOTE C - CASH AND INVESTMENT DEPOSITS - CONTINUED

The Housing Authority's cash and cash equivalents consist of cash held in three interest bearing checking accounts and one non-interest bearing checking account totaling \$666,011. The remaining \$200 is held in the form of petty cash or change fund. Deposits with financial institutions are secured as follows:

	Book Balance		Bank Balance	
Insured by FDIC	\$	250,000	\$	250,000
Collateralized with specific securities in the Authority name which are held				400.004
by the financial institution		<u>416,011</u>		482,281
	\$	666,011	\$	732,281

NOTE D - CONTRACTUAL COMMITMENTS

The Authority had Outstanding Contractual Commitments as of the September 30, 2012 as follows:

Type Commitment
None of any significance

<u>Amount</u>

NOTE E - RISK MANAGEMENT

The Housing Authority is exposed to various risks of losses related to torts; thefts of, damage to, and destruction of assets; error and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. The Housing Authority carries commercial insurance for all risks of loss, including workman's compensation and employee health and accident insurance. The Housing Authority has not had any significant reductions in insurance coverage or any claims not reimbursed.

NOTE F - CONCENTRATION OF RISK

The Housing Authority receives most of its funding from HUD. These funds and grants are subject to modification by HUD depending on the availability of funding.

The President's 2013 Budget includes funding reductions to HUD as a result of Sequestration. Therefore, the Authority anticipates a decrease of approximately 22% in funding for the Public Housing program, and 19% for the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program.

NOTE G - SIGNIFICANT ESTIMATES

The financial statements include some amounts that are based on management's best estimates and judgments. The most significant estimates relate to depreciation and useful lives. These estimates may be adjusted as more current information becomes available, and any adjustment could be significant.

NOTE H - COMPENSATED ABSENCES

It is the Housing Authority's policy to permit employees, depending on tenure, to accumulate earned but unused vacation and sick leave. Upon separation from employment after one year of service, an employee is entitled to receive pay for accrued vacation up to a specified maximum. Leave accrued but not yet paid as of September 30, 2012 is shown as a liability allocated between current and noncurrent.

	Balance 0/1/2011	Increases	Decreases	_	alance 30/2012		e Within ne Year
Accrued Compensated Absences	\$ 68,872	19,355	59,351	\$	28,876	$\overline{}$	14,438
Less: Current portion	 (10,825)				(14,438)		
Total Long Term Liabilities	\$ 58,047			\$	14,438	\$	

NOTE I - PENSION PLAN

The Housing Authority contributes to a defined contribution pension plan. All full time employees are eligible for the plan after completing 6 months employment with the Authority. The Authority contributes 7.5% of each employee's salary to the plan. Employer contributions to the plan for the year ended September 30, 2012 were \$10,336. Employee contributions are 5% of each employee's salary. Employees contributions to the plan for the year ended September 30, 2012 were \$6,890.

Assets in the plan are recorded at market value and are administered by a private corporation under contract with the Housing Authority. It is the opinion of the Authority's legal counsel that the Housing Authority has no liability for losses under the plans but does have the responsibility of due care that would be required of an ordinary prudent investor.

NOTE J - INTERPROGRAM ACTIVITY

The Housing Authority manages several programs. Many charges, i.e., payroll, benefits, insurance, etc. are paid by the Housing Authority's various funds and subsequently reimbursed. Balances due for such charges are reflected in the Inter-program Due to/Due from account balances. Inter-program balances at September 30, 2012 consisted of the following:

Housing Choice Vouchers	\$ 64,156
Disaster Housing Assistance Payments	 (64,156)
Total	\$ -

NOTE K - PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

A summary of property and equipment is as follows at fiscal year-end:

	PUBLIC HOUSING	CAPITAL <u>FUND</u>	TOTAL
Land	\$ 171,875	\$ -	\$ 171,875
Building and Improvements	14,391,185	-	\$ 14,391,185
Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment	173,484	-	\$ 173,484
Construction in Process	•	1,939,293	\$ 1,939,293
Less Accumulated Depreciation	(11,773,021)		\$ (11,773,021)
Total Property and Equipment	\$ 2,963,523	\$ 1,939,293	\$ 4,902,816

		ober 1, 2011 <u>Balance</u>	£	dditions	ransfers & Dejetions	Septe	ember 30, 2012 Balance
Land Construction in	\$	171,875	\$	-	\$ -	\$	171,875
Process		1,863,135		495,834	(419,676)		1,939,293
Total Assets not							
being depreciated		2,035,010		495,834	(419,676)		2,111,168
Buildings and Improvements		13,976,704		-	414,481		14,391,185
Furniture and Equipment		168,289			 5,195		173,484
Total Property and Equipment		16,180,003		495,834	-		16,675,837
Less Accumulated Depreciatio	n						
Building and Improvements	1	(11,241,426)		(380,865)	-		(11,622,291)
Furniture and Equipment		(128,353)		(22,377)	 		(150,730)
Net Book Value	\$	4,810,224	<u>\$</u>	92,592	\$ -	\$	4,902,816

NOTE L - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Amounts received or receivable from HUD are subject to audit and adjustment by grantor agencies. If expenses are disallowed as a result of these audits, the claims for reimbursement to the grantor agency would become a liability of the Authority. In the opinion of management, any such adjustments would not be significant. Based on a consolidated review performed by HUD, the Housing Authority may be required to refund approximately \$1 million received through grants if they are unable to provide supporting documentation.

NOTE M - RESTRICTED CASH, INVESTMENTS AND RESTRICTED NET ASSETS

Excess Housing Assistance Payment (HAP) funds available to the Authority under the Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers program are required by HUD to be restricted. These funds are to be used only for HAP expenditures for the program. These funds are also shown as Restricted Net Assets since there is no offsetting liability. Total restricted cash, investments and net assets were \$138,819 as of the end of the fiscal year.

NOTE N - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

In preparing financial statements, management evaluated subsequent events through June 21, 2013, the date the financial statements were issued.

NOTE O - PRIOR PERIOD ADJUSTMENT

During fiscal year 2010, the Authority received grant funds from HUD to fund the transition of certain Disaster Housing Assistance Program participants into its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program. The Authority did not expend \$89,799 of these transitional grant funds. These funds were payable back to HUD as of the beginning of fiscal year 2012 and have not been paid back to HUD as of September 30, 2012. The Authority's beginning Accounts Receivable/(Payable) due from/(to) HUD, and the Authority's Net Asset balances have been restated as follows:

Accounts Receivable/(Payable) - HUD, as of September 30, 2011	\$ 17,536
Prior Period Adjustment	 (89,799)
Restated Accounts Receivable/(Payable) - HUD, as of September 30, 2011	\$ (72,263)

- 23

THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH SCHEDULE OF ASSETS, LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS BY PROGRAM SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

<u>ASSETS</u>	Public Housing <u>Program</u>	Capital Fund <u>Program</u>	CFP Stimulus <u>Grant</u>	Housing Choice <u>Vouchers</u>	Disaster Housing <u>Assistance</u>	Elimination	<u>Total</u>
Current Assets							
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 233,236	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 294,156	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 527,392
Accounts Receivable - HUD	436,939	•	-	•	64,156	(89,799)	411,296
Tenants Accounts Receivable	6,995	-	-	-	-	-	6,995
(Allowance for Doubtful Accounts)	(1,539)	-	-	-	-	-	(1,539)
Inventories	5,833	-	-	-	_	-	5,833
Interprogram Receivable	•			64,156		(64,156)	-
Total Current Assets	681,464	-	-	358,312	64,156	(153,955)	949,977
Restricted Assets Cash and Cash Equivalents Total Restricted Assets	-	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	138,819 138,819	-	-	138,819 138,819
Capital Assets							
Land	171,875	-	-	-	-	-	171,875
Buildings	14,391,185	_	-	-	-	-	14,391,185
Furniture & Equipment	173,484	_	-	-		_	173,484
Construction in Process	•	1,939,293	-	-	-	_	1,939,293
	14,736,544	1,939,293	-		-	-	16,675,837
(Less): Accumulated Depreciation	(11,773,021)	_	-	-	-	-	(11,773,021)
Net Capital Assets	2,963,523	1,939,293	•	-	_		4,902,816
Total Assets	\$ 3,644,987	\$ 1,939,293	\$ -	\$ 497,131	\$ 64,156	\$ (153,955)	\$ 5,991,612

THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH SCHEDULE OF ASSETS, LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS BY PROGRAM SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS

	Public Housing <u>Program</u>	Capital Fund <u>Program</u>	CFP Stimulus <u>Program</u>	Housing Choice <u>Vouchers</u>	Disaster Housing <u>Assistance</u>	Elimination	Total
Current Liabilities							
Accounts Payable	\$ 85,131	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 89,799	\$ -	\$ (89,799)	85,131
Accounts Payable - Capital	349,208	-	-	_	~	-	349,208
Accrued Wages and Payroll Taxes	25,621	-	-	2,787	-	-	28,408
Accrued Compensated Absences	13,489	, -	-	949	-	•	14,438
Resident Security Deposits	17,371	. •	-	-	-	-	17,371
Accrued Current Liabilitites - Other	34,266	-	-	-	-	•	34,266
Interprogram Payable				_	64,156	(64,156)	
Total Current Liabilities	525,086	-	-	93,535	64,156	(153,955)	528,822
Long-Term Liabilities							
Accrued Compensated Absences	13,489	-	_	950	-	-	14,439
Total Long-Term Liabilities	13,489	-	_	950		-	14,439
Total Liabilities	538,575	-		94,485	64,156	(153,955)	543,261
Net Assets							
Investment in Capital Assets	2,963,523	1,939,293	_	-	-	-	4,902,816
Restricted Net Assets	· · ·	• •	-	138,819	_	•	138,819
Unrestricted Net Assets	142,889	-	-	263,827	_	-	406,716
Total Net Assets	3,106,412	1,939,293	-	402,646		-	5,448,351
Total Liabilities and Net Assets	\$ 3,644,987	\$ 1,939,293	\$ -	\$ 497,131	\$ 64,156	\$ (153,955) \$	5,991,612

THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS BY PROGRAM FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

	Public Housing <u>Program</u>	Capital Fund <u>Program</u>	CFP Stimulus <u>Program</u>	Housing Choice <u>Vouchers</u>	Disaster Housing <u>Assistance</u>	<u>Total</u>
Operating Revenues		•	•			
Dwelling Rent	\$ 411,572	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 411,572
Operating Grants	1,480,491	124,238	95,797	2,275,760	64,156	4,040,442
Other Income	71,093	404.000		1,393,863		1,464,956
Total Operating Revenues	1,963,156	124,238	95,797	3,669,623	64,156	5,916,970
Operating Expenses						
Administrative	481,896	•	18,198	93,850	-	593,944
Utilities	529,370	-	-	-	-	529,370
Maintenance and Operations	275,169	-	77,599	-	_	352,768
General Expense	462,026	-	-	7,664	-	469,690
Housing Assistance Payments		-	-	3,414,578	-	3,414,578
Depreciation	403,242					403,242
Total Operating Expenses	2,151,703	-	95,797	3,516,092	-	5,763,592
Operating Income (Loss)	(188,547)	124,238		153,531	64,156	153,378
Non-Operating Revenues (Expenses)						
investment income	221	•		826		1,047
Total Non-Operating Rev/(Exp)	221	•		826	•	1,047
Increase (Decrease) before Capital						
Contributions and Transfers	(188,326)	124,238		154,357	64,156	154,425
Operating Transfers In (Out)	124,238	(124,238)	_		_	-
Capital Contributions		495,834				495,834
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	(64,088)	495,834	· -	154,357	64,156	650,259
Net Assets, Beginning	2,750,824	1,863,135	-	273,932	-	4,887,891
Equity Transfer	419,676	(419,676)	•	64,156	(64,156)	-
Prior Period Adjustment		<u> </u>	_	(89,799)		(89,799)
Net Assets, Ending	\$ 3,106,412	\$ 1,939,293	\$ -	\$ 402,646	\$ -	\$ 5,448,351

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

EXPENDITURES

Low Rent Public Housing Expenditures Total CFDA Number 14.850a	\$ 1,480,491
Housing Choice Vouchers	
Total CFDA Number 14.871	2,275,760
Public Housing Capital Fund Program	
Total CFDA Number 14.872	620,072
Public Housing Capital Fund - Recovery Act Funded	
Total CFDA Number 14.885	95,797
Disaster Housing Assistance Payments Program	
Total CFDA Number 97.109	64,156
TOTAL HUD EXPENDITURES	4,536,276
TOTAL FEDERAL EXPENDITURES	\$ 4,536,276

Basis of Presentation:

The above schedule of expenditures of federal awards includes the federal grant activity of the Authority and is presented on the accrual basis of accounting. The information on this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations.

FINANCIAL DATA SCHEDULE SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

				СТР				
		LOW REST 14.000a	C29 14.672	STEMBLOR GOURT 14.085	FECTION 6 14.871	DEAP 97.109	ELDENATIONS	TOTAL
ASSETS								
CURRENT ASSETS								
CASE								
111 CARE THORSERICS		215,844	-	-	294,156	-	-	B10,021
	HENDERSTEIN SYLICH WID DEA			-		-	-	
113 CASE OFFICE PER		40.00		-	138,619	<u> </u>		139,019
114 CASE TEPART SEC		17,371		_				17,371
	NOW AREL COMMENT DEFT.	233,236		-	7	-		444 44
100 TOTAL CASE		233,230		-	422,975	-	-	666,211
ACCOUNTS AND NOT	TO STATE OF PERSON							<u> </u>
121 A/R - FEA PROJE								
192 A/R - HUD PACUT		436,939	-	-		84,156	(89.789)	411,296
124 1/R - 07HER 90		-		-	-		- '-	
125 A/R - NISC		-	-	-	-		-	_
126 A/R - TENUNTS I	MANUAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND A	6,999	-				-	6,90
126.1 ALLOWANCE FOR I	A - TENANTS	(1,539)	-	•		-	-	(1,53)
124.2 ALLOWANCE FOR I		_	-		1		-	•
127 HOSTA AND HOST	MORE RECEIVABLE		-	-				
124 FRAND RECOVERS		-	-		,	-	-	
138.1 ALLOHADES FOR I			-	-			-	
129 ACCRUED THE STATE		<u> </u>	-				-	
120 TOTAL RECEIVABLE	MET OF ALLOW	442,395		-		64,184	(88,799)	416,759
								
CONTROL DATE								
131 DEVILOPMENTS - U			-	•				
1.52 DOVERTIGATE - 1		-	-	•			-	<u>-</u>
142 FREDAID (YSURA)		7 1100		-				2 921
143.1 ALLONAMER FOR C		7,777	- :			-	- :	7,771
144 DITERPROGRAM DO		12,304)	-		54,156		(64,156)	(1,944
146 AMOUNTS TO BE							(41,129)	
150 TOTAL CURPLET AS		691,464		-	497,131	64,156	(153,955)	1,080,796
344 144		0.00,101			***		(203,930)	
PORCURFERE ASSET		 				F		
PIXED ASSETS		<u> </u>				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
161 LAND		171,878	-	_	-	-	_	171,871
162 8011201996		13,040,064	-			-	_	13,040,060
	TPHONE - INCELLINGS	10,000		-	-		-	10,000
AND RECOVERABLE AND	TENGENT - ADMINISTR	169,484	-	-	-		-	143,464
165 LEASEBOLD INTE		1,951,121	•	-	*	-		1,351,121
167 CONSTRUCTION II		-	1,939,293		,			1,919,293
156 ACCUMULATED DE		{11,773,021}	_	•		-	•	{11,778,021
160 TOTAL PINED ABRE	rs, MRT of DEST	2,963,323	1,939,293					4,902,914
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
	RECEIVACES - M/C		-			<u> </u>	-	
	ENCETANGE - NO	 	_		-	•	-	
174 OTHER ASSETS		 	-	<u> </u>	- :	-		
176 INVESTIGAT IN JO.	CAL ACTIONS			-		-	-	
*** *********************************	144770	2,963,523	1 545 645					4 654 654
180 TOTAL MONCURPENT	ARREST	2,943,023	1,818,293	•		-		4,902,614
190 FORAL ASSETS		3,644,987	1,030,203		497,131	21.102	India ades	5,991,612
		2,544,357	3,030,203		497,121	64,156	{253,855}	2,391,615
CHRIST LIABILITY		 						
111 BASE OVERDRAFT			··· •				-	
912 R/F < 98 DAYS		85,131	•					85,131
313 A/F > 95 DAYS		349,208	-	-	T	-		349,205
	ATROLL TAXES DATABLE	25,621	-		2,787			29,400
322 ACCRUED COMPEN	LATED ASSESSES	13,489	•	,	949	-	-	14,430
	MENCY LIABILITY	-	-	-	-	•	_	
\$25 ACCRUED THTERE	T PAYABLE		-	•		-	_	-
	LR - MUD PHA PROGRAMS	-		-	89,799		(89,799)	
	E - PEA SPOUDCES	-	,	-				
333 ACCOUNTS PAYABO		-	-		-	-		
341 TEMPORT SECURIT		17,371			-	-		17.37
342 DEFERRED REVEN		669		_			•	469
	OF LY DEBY CAPITAL	 	<u> </u>			-		
	OF LT DEST OFFICERED	 	<u> </u>			-		
345 OTHER CHROST : 346 ACCRUED LIABIL		33,797				-	 	23,797
347 INTERPROGRAM IN					 	64,186	(64,354)	
		525,086	-	<u> </u>	93,535		(153,955)	520,92
310 ANALY STREET AT		322,200			55,535		(244/451
310 TOTAL CURRENT LL		1				i e	l	
	LTTTEA				-			
MONCHRARMY LIARLY		-	-					
MONCURRENT LIART	MET OF COROCHT CAPITAL	-			-	-	1	
351 LONG TERM DEST 352 LONG TERM DEST	MET OF COMMUNICAPITAL			· · · · · · · · ·				14.439
351 2000 TERM DESP 352 2000 TERM DESP 352 2000 TERM DESP 354 2000 TERM DOR	MET OF COMPENSATED ANGENC COM OF COMPENSATED ANGENC				950			14,431
351 ZONG THEM DELTS 351 ZONG THEM DELTS 352 ZONG THEM DORS 354 LONG THEM DORS 253 MONCOUNTERT LEA	MET OF CHOCKET CAPTEL MET OF CHOCKET CYBRATING CON OF CONFEMATED ANSWED BILITIES OFFICE	13,489	-			•	-	-
351 2000 TERM DESP 352 2000 TERM DESP 352 2000 TERM DESP 354 2000 TERM DOR	MET OF CHOCKET CAPTEL MET OF CHOCKET CYBRATING CON OF CONFEMATED ANSWED BILITIES OFFICE		-		950	•	-	-
351 ZONG THEM DED? 352 ZONG THEM DED? 354 LONG THEM DOR? 354 LONG THEM DOR? 355 MUNICURNARY LEA	TYPE CAMERA CALLER FILTIES CAMERA CARREST FILTIES CAMERA CARREST FILTIES CAMERA FILTIES CAMERA F	13,489	-		950	•	-	14,439 14,439 843,261
351 ZORGERRENT LIBRID 351 ZORG TERM DEST 352 ZORG TERM DEST 384 LONG TERM PORT 383 MORCUMENT LIB 380 TORGER MORCUMENT LIB	TYPE CAMERA CALLER FILTIES CAMERA CARREST FILTIES CAMERA CARREST FILTIES CAMERA FILTIES CAMERA F	13,489	-		950	•	-	14,431
SCHOOLSREEF LIBRI	TYPE CAMERA CALLER FILTIES CAMERA CARREST FILTIES CAMERA CARREST FILTIES CAMERA FILTIES CAMERA F	13,489	-		950	•	-	14,43

HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH LAPLACE, LOUISIANA FINANCIAL DATA SCHEDULE SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

				CTP				
		14.850a	CFP 14.572	STEMULUS CHAMP 14.005	#BCT1Q# 8 14.871	DRAP 87.109	MAINCHARTICES	TOTAL
	CONTRIBUTED CAPITER.	-	_	-				-
502	PROJECT MOTES (MUD)		-	•			-	
503	2.CMG TERM DEET - BUD GUARANTEE							
504 505	MET BUD PEA CONTRIBUTIONS OTHER BUD CONTRIBUTIONS					-		-
507	OTHER CONTRIBUTIONS		<u>_</u>					
500	TOTAL CONTRIBUTED CADITAL	-	-	-				
500.1	INVESTMENT IN CAPITAL ASSESS	1,983,523	1,939,293	-	-		_==	4,902,916
	NESERVED PURD BALLOICE		-	•		-		•
509	RESERVED ROS. OFFERATING ACTIVITIES		•		-	-		
510	RESERVED FOR CADITAL ACTIVITIES		-	-		-	-	-
511	TOTAL RESERVED BALANCE RESTRICTED HER ASSESS		<u>.</u> .				-	
511.1 812	UNDERTORNED FORD BALANCE/R/E			 -	136,619		—— <u> </u>	139,619
B12.1	INCLUTRICIED HET ASSETS	142,989			263,627			406,716
913	TOTAL EQUIET	3,106,412	1,939,293		402,646		-	5,448,351
800	TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUIT	3,614,997	1,939,293	-	497,131	64,156	(183,995)	5,891,612
	REVENUE	-	-		•	-	-	-
703	HOTE SERVICE REVISION	399,728		•		-	-	329,728
704	TEMANT REVIEWS - OTHER TOTAL TEMANT REVIEWS	21,844	-					21,844
703	HUD PEA COANTS	411,572	126,238	98,797	2,278,760	- 44 194		411,572
706.1	CAPITAL GOZMIS RECEIVED	Y 400, 43X	495,834	92,797	2,275,760	64,186		4,040,442
708	OTHER GOVE CALUTE			_			-	- 133,114
710	SEC 6 XHOME			_	-	-	_	-
711	INVESTMENT DICKE - UNGSTRICTED	221			186			377
712	MURIGAGE INTEREST INCOME	-	-			-	-	
714	FRANC REGOVERY		<u> </u>	-				-
718	GAIN OR LOSS ON THE SALE OF FIXED ASSETS	71,093		-	1,393,645			1,464,956
720	DATE OF LOSS OF THE MALE OF PIRED ASSETS				570			670
H===					*,*			
700	TOTAL REVERUE	1,063,377	\$29,072	25,797	3,670,449	64,156	•	6,413,851
	2.XPENSES			-	-			-
 	ADMONISTRATIVE		<u>-</u> -	-			-	
911	AND TERM THE SALARITE STORY OF THE SALARITE	297,643 53,500		-	32,856	 -		230,919 53,500
1 115	OUTSIDE IGNOCEMENT TEES					-		83,300
914	ADVERTIGING & MARKETING	-		_	 	-		-
918	EDUTOTE BENEFIT CONTRIBUTION	85,374	-	-	9,421	-		74,795
111	COTTICE EXPENSES	33,652	-	•	-	-		33,052
917	15611 EXPERIENCE	40,788		-			-	40,750
918.1	ALLOCATED OVERHEAD	1,771			40,906		 	1,771
320.1	OTREE	79,778		19,294	4,267	-		102,243
	TEMANT SERVICES		-			-	-	-
922	TREAST SERVICES SALARIES		-	-	-			
922	BRLOCKFION GUSTS		-	•	-		-	-
921 424	THEORY SERVICES OPERS				<u> </u>	-		
1 200	OFFICER OFFICE			-			 	<u> </u>
35.	WATER	409,478				-		409,475
932	Electricity	29,613	-	-		-		29,613
933	ØA.E	89,619		-	-	-		69,619
934	FUEL	663	-	-		-	-	663
238	LARCE			-		<u> </u>	-	_
935	ANYA MOLOTEE REMEPTE CONFAINATION STILITY				 _			
937			-		===		-	
Γ.	CHOCHORY MAINTENANCE & COMPANYOR			-				
941	ONDINARY MAINT AND OF LABOR	162,849	_	•		_		162,849
942	ORDINARY MADPITENDED AND OF MATERIALS	\$3,701		77,899			-	131,300
943	CEDITIONET MAINTENANCE AND OF CONTRACT	4,940		-		-	-	4,940
945	PROTECTIVE SERVICES	55,679						63,679
931				-	 -		-	
952	PROTECTIVE ARRVICES - OTHER CONTRACT COSTS	72	-					72
983	PROTECTIVE SERVICES - OTHER	_	-	-	-	-		-
956	ENGLOYER REGIFT CONTRIBUTION PR			•	-	-		
<u> </u>	GERERAL ESPÉRÉE		-	-		-		-
MI	INSCRINCE PRINTERS	429,465				-		429,465
942	OTHER CHICAL LIGHTS	5,320			5,765			5,853 7 227
942.1 pt3		0,049			1,499	<u>-</u> -		7,227
		27,073		-			-	27,073
p64	BAD DEBT - TEMANT		-	-		-		
p61	BAD DEET - MURTGAGAS							
¥3 \$61	BAD DEST - MURICAGAS BAD DEST - OTHER		,	-				
#43 #46 #67	BAD DERF - MINISAGES MAD DERF - CTREE INTEGRET EXPERSE			-	-			-
963 966 967 969	BAD DEST - WINTOWARD PAD INST - OTERN INTERNIET EXPREE SEVERANCE EXPRES		-	-			-	-
#43 #46 #67	BAD DEST - WINTOWARD PAD DEST - OTEEN NYEWERS E MOVEMES SEVERANCE BUDGES			-				
961 968 967 969	BAD DEST - MURICAGES BAD INST - CYBER INTERNET EXPERIE SEVERANCE RIGHTS FUTAL OPERATIRE EXPENSES		-	-			-	-

HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH LAPLACE, LOUISIANA FINANCIAL DATA SCHEDULE SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

				618				
		FOR MENT	CFP	STIMULOS GRANT	SECTION O	DEAP		TOTAL
		14.950a	14.872	14.595	14.071	97.109	ELIMINATIONS	
971	PRINCEDINARY HATHERMACE							
972	CASUALITY LOSSES - NON CAPITALIZED			-		-		
973	EAD .				2,067,881			2,067,983
973.5	BAP - PORTABILITY IN	-			1,346,695			1,346,695
974	DEFRECTATION EXPENSE	403,242						403,242
973	RADD LORSES							
976	CAPITAL COTLATE GOVT FORDS							
977	DEBY FRINCIPAL PRINCIPAL GOVY FUNDS				-			
978	DWELLING UNITS RENT STORMER							
950	SOUNT EXPENSES	2,181,703		95,797	3,516,092		 1	5,763,592
	NATURAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	2/101/101		23,727	3,510,512			9,763,872
1901	Operating Transfers in	224,238		_			-	124,238
1002	Operating Transfers Out		(124,236)	-	-	-	-	(124,238
1003	Operating Transfers to/from Primary Ouvernment		-	-	-			-
1010	fotal Other Financing Sources (Uses)	124,238	(124,238)	-	-		•	-
_								
1000	EXCESS REVENUE CASE EXCESSES	(64,08B)	495,834	-	154,357	61.156	<u>-</u>	\$50,28g
1103	PEGLINGINO EGULTY	2,750,824	1,862,135	•	273,932			4,007,091
1104	SQUITE THANSFERS	439,676	(419,676)	-	64,156	(64,186)	-	
1104	PRIOR PERIOD ADJUSTMENTS	-	-	-	(89,799)		-	(89,785
	AND THE MODITY	3,106,412	1,939,293	-	402,646	_	-	5,448,351
					-		-	
11190	THIT MONTHS AVAILABLE	3,614			3,129			€, 734
	* VEIT MONTES TARGED	2,651			2,509			5,359
11170	ADMINISTRATIVE FEE EQUIYS				263,827	-	-	263,827
11100	EVUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS BOOTTY			-	130,819	-	-	130,014
11.510	TAMB PURCHASES			<u>-</u>			 	
	BUTIDING SURCANSES	~···- <u>-</u>	495,834				<u> </u>	495,434
11630		- 	435,634					450,501
11640			-					
11650			-		-		-	
	INTRASTRUCTURE PURCHASES					-		
13510	OFFE DEET SERVICE PARKENTS				-	$\overline{}$		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
13901								

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

Section I: Summary of Auditors' Results:

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Type of auditor's report issued:	Unqualified	
Internal Control over financial reporting: Are material weaknesses identified?	Yes	_X_No
Are significant deficiencies that are not considered to be material weaknesses identified?	_X Yes	None
to be material weaklisees identified?	<u>_V</u> 162	Reported
Is noncompliance that could have a material effect on the financial statements identified?	X Yes	No
FEDERAL AWARDS		
Internal control over major programs:		
Are material weaknesses identified? Are significant deficiencies that are not considered.	_X_Yes	No
to be material weaknesses identified?	_X_Yes	None
Type of report issued on compliance with requirements applicable to each major program:		Reported
All Major Programs:	Qualified	
Are there any audit findings that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of OMB		
Circular A-133?	_X_Yes	No
Identification of major programs:		
	CFDA No.	
Low Rent Public Housing	14.850	
Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher	14.871	
Public Housing Capital Fund Program Public Housing Capital Fund Program –	14.872	
Recovery Act Funded	14.885	
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs:	\$300,000	
Is the auditee identified as a low-risk auditee?	Yes	X_No

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

Section II: Financial Statement Findings:

Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs:

Finding 2011-01 Internal Control

Condition & Cause:

Forty Public Housing disbursements were selected for review during the audit. Of the forty sample items selected, the Authority was unable to provide back-up documentation for ten of the requested items.

Current Year Status:

The Authority was able to provide all requested disbursements and necessary support during the fiscal year 2012 audit. This Finding is not re-stated.

Finding 2011-02 Failure to Comply with State Bid Law

Condition & Cause:

The Housing Authority is required to retain records for public bids for a minimum of six (6) years following the purchase or completion of work, in accordance with Louisiana Revised statue 38:2212. Documentation such as requests for bids and bid proposals submitted are not being kept.

Current Year Status:

The Authority appears to be maintaining all applicable procurement records. This finding is cleared.

Current Year Findings and Questioned Costs:

Finding 2012-01 - Timely Completion and Submission of Annual Audit

Criteria:

The Authority is required to submit audited financial statements to the Louisiana Legislative Auditor's Office within six months of its fiscal year end.

Condition:

The Authority falled to submit audited financial statements to the Louisiana Legislative Auditor's Office within a timely manner.

Effect: Non-compliance with HUD regulations

Cause: Insufficient control environment

Questioned Cost: None identified

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

Section II: Financial Statement Findings - continued:

Current Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Finding 2012-01 - Timely Completion and Submission of Annual Audit - continued

Recommendation:

We recommend that the Authority review procedures in order to ensure the timely completion and submission of its annual audit report to the Louisiana Legislative Auditor's Office.

Response:

The Authority will review procedures involving the timely completion of financial records and submitting of audit reports. Trina Henderson, Executive Director, expects the deficiencies which led to this Finding to be resolved by the end of fiscal year 2013.

Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs:

Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs:

Finding 2011-01 internal Control

Public Housing Low Rent – CFDA No. 14.850a; Grant period – year ended September 30, 2011; Housing Choice Vouchers – CFDA No. 14.871; Grant period – year ended September 30, 2011; Capital Fund Program – CFDA No. 14.872; Grant period – year ended September 30, 2011; American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) – CFDA No. 14.885; Grant period – year ended September 30, 2011

See Finding 2011-01 under Section II of this Schedule.

Finding 2011-03 Failure to Perform Background Checks on Tenants

<u>Public Housing Low Rent – CFDA No. 14.850a; Grant period – year ended September 30, 2011;</u> <u>Housing Choice Vouchers – CFDA No. 14.871; Grant period – year ended September 30, 2011</u>

Condition & Cause:

Of the fifteen Public Housing tenant files that were selected for audit, thirteen background checks were unavailable. Of the fifteen Housing Choice Voucher tenant files that were selected for audit, five background checks were unavailable.

Current Year Status:

The Authority was not able to provide necessary support to clear this finding therefore this finding is restated. See Finding 2012-02.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Finding 2011-04 - Calculation of Portability Administrative Fees

Housing Choice Voucher Program - CFDA No. 14.871; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011

Condition & Cause:

Per the Federal Register 24 CFR part 982.355, the Housing Authority should bill the initial public housing authority an administrative fee in addition to the housing assistance payment when a tenant moves to another area ("ports"). The administrative fee, determined by HUD, is based on the Housing Authority's fair market rental rates and changes frequently. Due to the lack of documentation it is not possible to determine if the administrative fees are being updated with changes per HUD or if the administrative fees are complete and accurate.

Current Year Status:

The Authority was not able to provide necessary support to clear this finding therefore this finding is restated. See Finding 2012-10.

Finding 2011-05 Housing Choice Voucher HQS Inspections

Housing Choice Vouchers - CFDA No. 14.871; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011

Condition & Cause:

Of the fifteen Housing Choice Voucher tenant files that were selected for audit, six files did not contain evidence that an annual inspection was performed. It was noted that the Housing Authority does not keep a log of failed inspections. This includes retaining copies of letters sent to tenants and landlords in the tenant's file.

Current Year Status:

The Authority was not able to provide necessary support to clear this finding therefore this finding is restated. See Finding 2012-03.

Finding 2011-06 - Housing Assistance Payments Contract

Housing Choice Voucher Program - CFDA No. 14.871; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011

Condition & Cause:

Per the Federal Register 24 CFR part 982.151, all landlords must sign a Housing Assistance Payments contract, HUD Form 52641. Not having a signed contract with the landlord could void the rental assistance coming from HUD. Of the 15 files tested, six contracts were missing.

Current Year Status:

The Authority was not able to provide necessary support to clear this finding therefore this finding is restated. See Finding 2012-04.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Finding 2011-07 Housing Choice Voucher Tenant Files

Housing Choice Vouchers - CFDA No. 14.871; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011

Condition & Cause:

The results of a review of Housing Choice Voucher tenant files are as follows:

- 1. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 5 were missing verification of tenant eligibility.
- 2. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 6 were missing the utility allowance calculation.
- 3. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 15 did not have proof of rent reasonableness.
- 4. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 10 were missing approved leases, HUD Form 52517.
- 5. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 6 did not have signed valid contracts HUD Form 52641, between the tenants and the landlords.
- 6. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 10 did not have re-examinations of income.
- 7. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 9 were missing a HUD Form 50058.
- 8. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 6 were missing annual inspection reports.
- 9. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 1 was missing a social security card.
- 10. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 1 was missing a birth certificate or state issued I.D.
- 11. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 8 did not have an updated, signed release of information form.
- 12. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 12 were missing third party verifications of income.
- 13. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 4 were missing signed lead based paint disclosures.

Current Year Status:

The Authority was not able to provide necessary support to clear this finding therefore this finding is restated. See Finding 2012-05.

Finding 2011-08 - Miscalculation of Housing Assistance Payments

Housing Choice Voucher Program - CFDA No. 14,871; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011

Condition & Cause:

Of the fifteen files tested for the HCV program, seven files did not contain a current calculation of a HAP; therefore the calculation of payments could not be tested.

Current Year Status:

The Authority was not able to provide necessary support to clear this finding therefore this finding is restated. See Finding 2012-06.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Finding 2011-09 - HUD Report 60002

Public Housing Low Rent – CFDA No. 14.850a; Grant period – year ended September 30, 2011; Capital Fund Program – CFDA No. 14.872; Grant period – year ended September 30, 2011; American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) – CFDA No. 14.885; Grant period – year ended September 30, 2011

Condition & Cause:

Each grant that is involved in development, operating or modernization assistance is required to submit HUD Form 60002, Section 3 Summary Report.

Current Year Status:

The Authority was able to provide necessary support to clear this finding therefore this finding is cleared.

Finding 2011-10 Tenant File Maintenance

Public Housing Low Rent - CFDA No. 14.850a; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011

Condition & Cause:

The results of a review of Public Housing tenant files are as follows:

- 1. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 8 were missing initial applications.
- 2. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 13 were missing landlord verification forms.
- 3. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 13 were missing community service requirement forms.
- 4. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 10 were missing approved leases, HUD Form 52517.
- 5. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 11 did not have re-examinations of income.
- 6. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 10 rent receipts did not match the lease.
- 7. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 6 were missing a HUD Form 50058.
- 8. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 11 were missing annual inspection reports.
- 9. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 3 did not have an updated, signed release of information form.
- 10. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 12 were missing third party verifications of income.
- 11. Of the 15 tenant files reviewed, 4 were missing signed lead based paint disclosures.

Current Year Status:

The Authority was not able to provide necessary support to clear this finding therefore this finding is restated. See Finding 2012-07.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Finding 2011-11 Equipment and Real Property Management

Public Housing Low Rent – CFDA No. 14.850a; Grant period – year ended September 30, 2011; Capital Fund Program – CFDA No. 14.872; Grant period – year ended September 30, 2011; American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) – CFDA No. 14.885; Grant period – year ended September 30, 2011

Condition & Cause:

We were unable to locate equipment records which complied with HUD regulations. In addition, we were unable to verify that a physical inventory of equipment was performed.

Current Year Status:

The Authority was not able to provide necessary support to clear this finding therefore this finding is restated. See Finding 2012-08.

Finding 2011-12 - Declaration of Trust Unavailable

Public Housing Low Rent - CFDA No. 14.850a; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011

Condition & Cause:

During the audit, a copy of the Trust was requested by the auditors, but the Authority was not able to produce a copy of the Trust.

Current Year Status:

The Authority was able to provide necessary support to clear this finding therefore this finding is cleared.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Finding 2011-13 Davis-Bacon Act

Capital Fund Program – CFDA No. 14.872; Grant period – year ended September 30, 2011; American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) – CFDA No. 14.885; Grant period – year ended September 30, 2011

Condition & Cause:

During the period under audit the Authority had ongoing construction projects under the Capital Fund Program and the Capital Fund Stimulus Act. The Authority was not able to provide documentation of certified payrolls from the contractors performing this work, which is required by the Davis-Bacon Act.

Current Year Status:

The Authority was able to provide necessary support to clear this finding therefore this finding is cleared.

Finding 2011-14 SEMAP Certification

Housing Choice Vouchers - CFDA No. 14871; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011

Condition & Cause:

During the current year, the SEMAP Certification was tested for validity. The auditor found instances where the backup documentation did not match the data submitted to HUD on the certification.

Current Year Status:

The Authority was not able to provide necessary support to clear this finding therefore this finding is restated. See **Finding 2012-09**.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Finding 2011-15 - Timely Completion and Submission of Annual Audit

Public Housing Low Rent - CFDA No. 14.850a; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011; Housing Choice Vouchers - CFDA No. 14.871; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011; Capital Fund Program - CFDA No. 14.872; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011; American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) - CFDA No. 14.885; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2011

Condition & Cause:

The Authority failed to make available financial records to the auditors in a timely manner in order to meet the federal and state audit filing deadline requirements.

Current Year Status:

The Authority did not submit its audited financial statements to the Louisiana Legislative Auditor's Office by the state audit filing deadline. Therefore, this Finding with respect to the state audit filing requirements is re-stated as Finding 2012-01 under Section II of this Schedule.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Current Year Findings and Questioned Costs:

Finding 2012-02 Failure To Perform Background Checks on Tenants

Public Housing Low Rent - CFDA No. 14.850a; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2012; Housing Choice Vouchers - CFDA No. 14.871; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2012

Criteria:

The Housing Authority is required to complete a background check on all household members over the age of 18 who will be assisted under any HUD program.

Condition:

Of the twenty-three Public Housing tenant files that were selected for audit, twenty-three background checks were unavailable. Of the twenty-one Housing Choice Voucher tenant files that were selected for audit, twenty-one background checks were unavailable. This Finding is repeated from the prior year. See Finding 2011-03.

Effect: Non-compliance with HUD regulations

Cause: Insufficient internal control procedures

Questioned Cost: None identified

Recommendation:

We recommend that the Authority complete background checks as required in a consistent manner and on a timely basis.

Response:

The Housing Authority will ensure that complete background checks will be provided on a timely basis. The Authority's Executive Director will assume the responsibility of reviewing the applicant's completed background checks and expects the deficiencies which led to this Finding to be resolved by the end of fiscal year 2013.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

Section III; Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Current Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Finding 2012-03 Housing Choice Voucher HQS Inspections

Housing Choice Vouchers - CFDA No. 14.871; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2012

Criteria:

Per the Federal Register 24 CFR part 982.405, inspections on tenant units must be done at least annually. Not completing inspections on a timely basis could result in unsafe living conditions to exist in dwelling units.

Condition and Question Costs:

Of the twenty-one Housing Choice Voucher tenant files that were selected for audit, three files did not contain any documentation of an inspection being performed during the audit period. There was one initial failed HQS inspection that was not re-inspected within the 30 day requirement. Housing assistance payments should have been abated, but were not. Housing assistance payments in the amount of \$3,538 were not abated. It was noted that the Housing Authority does not keep a log of failed inspections. This includes retaining copies of letters sent to tenants and landlords in the tenant's file. This Finding is repeated from the prior year. See Finding 2011-05.

Effect: Non-compliance with HUD regulations

Cause: Insufficient internal control procedures

Recommendation:

We recommend that the Authority perform and document inspections annually during the tenant's recertification process. The Housing Authority should also begin tracking all failed inspections and document communication attempts with landlords and tenants in the tenant's file. The Housing Authority should also maintain signed contracts with all landlords.

Response:

The Housing Authority is now performing annual HQS inspections concurrent with the tenant's recertification process, using the current HUD approved inspection report. All failed inspections are being entered into a separate log and monitored to ensure that the dwelling unit meets HQS compliance. A copy of written correspondence with the tenant and landlord is placed in respective files and in the failed unit log. Trina Henderson, Executive Director, expects the deficiencies which led to this Finding to be resolved by the end of fiscal year 2013.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Current Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Finding 2012-04 Housing Assistance Payments Contracts

Housing Choice Vouchers - CFDA No. 14.871; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2012

Criteria:

Federal Register 24 CFR part 982.308 requires the tenant and owner to enter a written lease, HUD Form 52641. The lease must be executed by the owner and the tenant. The Housing Authority should include a copy of the written lease in the participant's file to demonstrate compliance. Not having a signed contract with the landlord could void the rental assistance coming from HUD.

Condition:

Of the fifteen Housing Choice Voucher tenant files that were selected for audit, five were missing Housing Assistance Payment Contracts, HUD Form 52641. This Finding is repeated from the prior year. See Finding 2011-06.

Effect: Non-compliance with HUD regulations

Cause: Insufficient internal control procedures

Questioned Cost: None identified

Recommendation:

We recommend that the Authority maintain signed contracts with all landlords and update them as required by HUD.

Response:

The Authority has revised its Section 8 tenant file folders to include current leases and leases signed within the past two years. The Authority will also provide file documentation and maintenance training to its Section 8 employees. The Authority's Executive Director has assumed the responsibility of implementing the instruction and training, and expects the deficiencies which led to this Finding to be resolved by the end of fiscal year 2013.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Current Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Finding 2012-05 Housing Choice Voucher Tenant Files

Housing Choice Vouchers - CFDA No. 14.871; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2012

Criteria:

The Code of Federal Regulations and HUD guidelines give the requirements for maintaining the tenant files for the Housing Choice Voucher program.

Condition:

The results of a review of Housing Choice Voucher tenant files are as follows:

- 1. Of the 21 tenant files reviewed, 5 were missing verification of tenant eligibility.
- 2. Of the 21 tenant files reviewed, 5 had no determination of gross rent.
- 3. Of the 21 tenant files reviewed, 6 did not have proof of rent reasonableness.
- 4. Of the 21 tenant files reviewed, 5 were missing approved leases, HUD Form 52517.
- 5. Of the 21 tenant files reviewed, 5 did not have signed valid contracts HUD Form 52641, between the tenants and the landlords.
- 6. Of the 21 tenant files reviewed, 5 did not have re-examinations of income.
- 7. Of the 21 tenant files reviewed, 5 were missing a HUD Form 50058.
- 8. Of the 21 tenant files reviewed, 4 were missing annual inspection reports.
- 9. Of the 21 tenant files reviewed, 5 did not have an updated, signed release of information form.
- 10. Of the 21 tenant files reviewed, 6 were missing third party verifications of income.

This Finding is repeated from the prior year. See Finding 2011-07.

Effect: Non-compliance with HUD regulations

Cause: Insufficient internal control procedures

Questioned Cost: None identified

Recommendation:

We recommend that the Authority utilize a standard filing system based upon a checklist and issue this to all required personnel. We recommend that supervisors and managers review, on a regular monthly basis, a random sample of all files to determine compliance with federal guidelines.

Response:

The Authority will review its procedures for the preparation and maintenance of tenant files and, where necessary, procedures will be changed. Additional training and instruction will be provided to appropriate personnel and a process for management review will be instituted. The Authority's Executive Director has assumed the responsibility of implementing the review process and expects the deficiencies which led to this Finding to be resolved by the end of fiscal year 2013.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 201

Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Current Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Finding 2012-06 Miscalculation of Housing Assistance Payments

Housing Choice Vouchers - CFDA No. 14.871; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2012

Criteria:

The Code of Federal Regulations and HUD guidelines give the requirements for maintaining the tenant files for the Housing Choice Voucher program.

Condition:

Of the twenty-one files tested for the HCV program, five files did not contain a current calculation of a HAP; therefore the calculation of payments could not be tested. This Finding is repeated from the prior year. See Finding 2011-08.

Effect: Non-compliance with HUD regulations

Cause: Insufficient internal control procedures

Questioned Cost: None identified

Recommendation:

We recommend that the Housing Authority implement and execute strengthened controls over the HAP calculation and documentation process to include standard supervisory sign-offs, quality control reviews and staff training.

Response:

The Housing Authority will assess its staff and consider restructuring and make requests to the State Civil Service Department to acquire additional positions. Trina Henderson, Executive Director, expects the deficiencies which led to this Finding to be resolved by the end of fiscal year 2013.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Current Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Finding 2012-07 Tenant File Maintenance

Public Housing Low Rent - CFDA No. 14.850a; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2012

Criteria:

The Code of Federal Regulations and HUD guidelines give the requirements for maintaining the tenant files for the Public Housing Program.

Condition:

The results of a review of Public Housing tenant files are as follows:

- 1. Of the 23 tenant files reviewed, 6 were missing initial applications.
- 2. Of the 23 tenant files reviewed, 9 were missing landlord verification forms.
- 3. Of the 23 tenant files reviewed, 23 were missing community service requirement forms.
- 4. Of the 23 tenant files reviewed, 7 dld not have re-examinations of income.
- 5. Of the 23 tenant files reviewed, 8 rent receipts did not match the lease.
- 6. Of the 23 tenant files reviewed, 8 were missing a HUD Form 50058.
- 7. Of the 23 tenant files reviewed, 20 were missing annual inspection reports.
- 8. Of the 23 tenant files reviewed, 9 did not have an updated, signed release of information form.
- 9. Of the 23 tenant files reviewed, 11 were missing third party verifications of income.
- 10. Of the 23 tenant files reviewed, 15 were missing signed lead based paint disclosures.
- Of the 23 tenant files reviewed, 3 were missing birth certificates or state issued identification.

This Finding is repeated from the prior year. See Finding 2011-10.

Effect: Non-compliance with HUD regulations

Cause: Insufficient internal control procedures

Questioned Cost: None identified

Recommendation:

We recommend that the Authority utilize a standard filing system based upon a checklist and issue this to all required personnel. We recommend that supervisors and managers review, on a regular monthly basis, a random sample of all files to determine compliance with federal guidelines and the Authority's policy.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Current Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Finding 2012-07 Tenant File Maintenance - continued

Response:

The Authority will review its procedures for the preparation and maintenance of tenant files, and where necessary, procedures will be changed. Additional training and instruction will be provided to appropriate personnel and a process for management review will be instituted. The Authority's Executive Director has assumed the responsibility of implementing the review process and expects the deficiencies which led to this Finding to be resolved by the end of fiscal year 2013.

Finding 2012-08 Equipment and Real Property Management

Public Housing Low Rent – CFDA No. 14.850a; Grant period – year ended September 30, 2012; Capital Fund Program – CFDA No. 14.872; Grant period – year ended September 30, 2012; American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) – CFDA No. 14.885; Grant period – year ended September 30, 2012

Criteria:

Equipment records should include a description of the equipment, including serial number, source and acquisition date. Existence of equipment should be verified on a periodic basis by performance of a physical inventory of equipment. A record of the physical inventory of equipment should be maintained on file (Low Rent Housing Accounting Guide, HM G 7511.1, Chapter 9, Section 2, Paragraph 11).

Condition:

We were unable to locate equipment records which complied with HUD regulations. In addition, we were unable to verify that a physical inventory of equipment was performed. See Finding 2011-11.

Effect: Non-compliance with HUD regulations

Cause: Insufficient control environment

Questioned Cost: None identified

Recommendation:

We recommend that the Authority establish processes and procedures to ensure that equipment records are maintained in accordance with HUD regulations. Additionally, we recommend that periodic inventories of equipment are performed and records of the inventories are maintained on file.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Current Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Finding 2012-08 Equipment and Real Property Management - continued

Response:

The Authority has established procedures to ensure that equipment records are maintained in accordance with HUD regulations. The Authority will perform a periodic inventory of equipment and will maintain records of inventory results. The Finance Director will adjust accounting records to reflect the actual equipment inventory. The Authority's Executive Director has assumed the responsibility of executing the physical inventory and record retention process and expects the deficiencies which led to this Finding to be resolved by the end of fiscal year 2013.

Finding 2012-09 - SEMAP Certification

Housing Choice Vouchers - CFDA No. 14.871; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2012

Criteria:

The Code of Federal Regulations and HUD guidelines give the requirements related to the Section Eight Management Assessment Program (SEMAP) for Public Housing Agencies. Specifically, 24CFR Part 985 gives the requirements in relation to the SEMAP certification. SEMAP is used to remotely measure the Authority's performance and administration of the Housing Choice Vouchers program.

Condition:

During the current year audit, the SEMAP Certification and supporting documentation was requested for audit. The auditor was unable to provide supporting documentation for all the indicators requested. Additionally, for certain indicators for which supporting documentation was provided, the auditor found instances where the backup documentation did not match the data submitted to HUD on the certification. See Finding 2011-14.

Effect: Non-compliance with HUD regulations

Cause: Failure to follow HUD regulations

Questioned Cost: None identified

Recommendation:

We recommend the Authority strengthen their internal control procedures relating to the SEMAP Certification in order to provide accurate information to HUD.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Current Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Finding 2012 - 09 - SEMAP Certification-continued

Reply:

The Authority's Executive Director has assumed the responsibility of implementing and executing strengthened controls over federal Reporting requirements and expects the deficiencies which led to this Finding to be resolved by the end of fiscal year 2013.

Finding 2012-10 Calculation of Portability Administrative Fees

Housing Choice Vouchers - CFDA No. 14.871; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2012

Criteria:

Per Federal Register 24 CFR part 982.355, the Housing Authority should bill the initial public housing authority an administrative fee in addition to the housing assistance payment when a tenant moves to another area ("ports"). The administrative fee, determined by HUD, is based on the Housing Authority's fair market rental rates and changes frequently.

Condition:

Due to the lack of documentation it is not possible to determine if the administrative fees are being updated with changes per HUD or if the administrative fees are complete and accurate. This Finding is repeated from the prior year. See Finding 2011-04.

Effect: Non-compliance with HUD regulations

Cause: Insufficient control environment

Questioned Cost: None identified

Recommendation:

We recommend that the Authority maintains documentation for Portability Fees and the related HAP payments. There should also be a reconciliation to reflect updated administrative fees in accordance with HUD guidelines.

Response:

The Housing Authority is recalculating the Administrative fees for ports for fiscal year 2011-2012. Trina Henderson, Executive Director, will ensure the changes in Fair Market Rents and Administrative Fees are current and are reconciled to ensure the "Rents & Fees" billed are in compliance. Trina Henderson expects the deficiencies which led to this Finding to be resolved by the end of fiscal year 2013.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Current Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

<u>Finding 2012-11 - Environmental Review Compliance Requirement</u>

<u>Capital Fund Program – CFDA No. 14.872; Grant period – year ended September 30, 2012</u>

<u>American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) – CFDA No. 14.885; Grant period September 30, 2012</u>

Criteria:

An environmental review must be completed for any project or activities (including those projects or activities funded by ARRA) before a recipient may acquire, rehabilitate, convert, lease, repair or construct property, or commit HUD or local funds. Environmental review procedures for entities who are assuming HUD's environmental responsibilities are contained in 24 CFR, part 58. An environmental assessment must be prepared for an activity unless the recipient determines that the activity met a criterion specified in the regulations that would exempt or exclude it from Request for Release of Funds (RROF) and environmental certification requirements (24 CFR sections 58.34 and 58.35). If the responsible entity determines that a project or activity is exempt, it must document in writing its determination for the exemption demonstrating how the conditions specified for exemption are met. Neither a recipient nor any participant in the project, including public or private nonprofit or forprofit entities, or any of their contractors, may commit HUD assistance until HUD has approved the recipient's RROF and the related certification from the responsible entity (24 CFR, section 58.22).

Condition:

During audit fieldwork, the Capital Fund and Capital Fund – Recovery Act programs were audited for compliance with the requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement. As part of the audit, auditors requested environmental reviews for each of the aforementioned federal programs. Authority management was unable to provide the reports upon request.

Effect: Non-compliance with HUD regulations

Cause: Insufficient control environment

Questioned Cost: None identified

Recommendation:

We recommend the Authority complete the required environmental reviews as required by HUD, and maintain the reports and supporting documentation for audit.

Response:

Trina Henderson, Executive Director, is taking steps to ensure that the Authority will complete and submit the required reports and will have any needed environmental review reports completed by the end of fiscal year 2013.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

Section III: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Current Year Findings and Questioned Costs - continued:

Finding 2012-12 - Public Housing Waiting List

<u>Department of Housing and Urban Development Public and Indian Housing - CFDA 14.850a; Grant period - year ended September 30, 2012</u>

Condition:

A sample of eight applicants who were housed during the fiscal year was requested for audit. Of the eight applicants selected, three were housed before other applicants who had applied before them. The Authority staff was unable to provide an explanation when asked why the applicants were housed prior to applicants who had applied before them.

Criteria:

24 CFR Part 960.208 and 960.208 provides the compliance requirements for administration of the Public Housing Waiting List.

Effect: Non-compliance with HUD regulations

Cause: Insufficient internal control procedures

Questioned Cost: None identified

Recommendation:

We recommend that the Authority create procedures to strengthen their control systems in relation to their Public Housing Waiting List.

Response:

The Authority will review its procedures over program admittance and will provide additional training and instruction to the appropriate personnel. The Authority's Executive Director has assumed the responsibility of implementing the review process and expects the deficiencies which led to this Finding to be resolved by the end of fiscal year 2013.